From: <u>James Turner</u>

To: <u>sfarolino@ralaw.com</u>

**cc:** <u>Jamie Bradsher</u>; <u>Mark Peycke</u>

**Subject:** Jones Hamilton 104(e)

Response

**Date:** 04/07/2010 04:06 PM

Mr. Farolino, in reviewing the CERCLA 104(e) response letter dated August 28, 2009 (and enclosures) of Robert James for the Jones-Hamilton Company (JHC) of Walbridge, Ohio, I have noted that in response to question no. 1, the answer was prefaced in part with the statement "Further, JHC requests that this information be held as confidential." It is unclear from the JHC letter what is considered to be confidential, since the information that immediately follows is nothing more than a list of JHC corporate officers, employees, and counsel who were represented as being involved in the preparation of the response, along with their corporate or law office contact information. Does this constitute a contention by JHC that all or some part its 104(e) response and enclosures is considered to be Confidential Business Information (CBI)? As you may be aware, EPA handling of CBI is governed by the regulations set forth at 40 CFR Part 2, Subpart B. I would appreciate your advising me (by reply to this email if desired) as to whether JHC is claiming that all or parts of its 104(e) response are CBI? If so, please describe the information JHC contends is covered by the claim. Thanks and take care.

James L. Turner Senior Attorney Office of Regional Counsel (6RC-S) U.S. EPA Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Ste. 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

E-Mail: Turner.James@epa.gov

Ph: (214) 665-3159 Fax: (214) 665-6460

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe you have received this communication in error, please immediately contact the sender and delete the copy you received, and do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.